



Stephen A. Cord Jr.

Associate

stephen.cord@wilsonelser.com

Dallas, TX – 214.774.4482

Stephen Cord represents established businesses and individuals in all aspects of civil litigation. He focuses his practice on litigation pertaining to complex commercial matters, fiduciary and trusts, products liability, financial services and securities, energy, intellectual property, class actions and real estate.

Education

- University of Notre Dame Law School (J.D., *cum laude*, 2017)
 - *Notre Dame Journal of Law, Ethics & Public Policy*, Articles Editor
 - Dean's Honor Roll
- Southern Methodist University, Cox School of Business (B.B.A. Finance, *cum laude*, 2004)
 - SMU Honor Roll

Bar Admissions

- Texas

Court Admissions

- U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit
- U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas
- U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas
- U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas
- U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas

Services

- Commercial Litigation
- Complex Tort & General Casualty
- Class Action
- Professional Liability & Services

Clerkships

- The Honorable Amos L. Mazzant of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas
- The Honorable Renee H. Toliver of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas

Professional Affiliations

- State Bar of Texas, Litigation & Business Law Sections
- Dallas Bar Association, Business Litigation & Trial Skills Sections
- Texas Bar College

Client Wins

Growth and Cord Secure Summary Judgement Win

Jennafer Growth (Partner-Dallas) and Stephen Cord (Associate-Dallas) secured a summary judgment win for their roofing client in a multiparty commercial construction defect case. The Plaintiffs alleged that their surgery center and medical buildings were unusable as a result of a myriad of construction defects which included the TPO membrane roof. Plaintiffs claimed the roof on both buildings needed to be replaced and the General Contractor claimed over \$1M in damages was owed by their roofing client. They moved for summary judgment based on the complete lack of evidence that their client violated the standard of care or that the roof was causing any damage. At the last minute, opposing counsel filed a motion to continue the hearing to try to buy more time to drum up evidence. The Court correctly denied opposing counsel's motion to continue and granted their No Evidence Motion for Summary Judgment.