



Rachel A. Davis

Partner

rachel.davis@wilsonelser.com

White Plains, NY – 212.915.5415

Rachel Davis focuses her practice on matters of general liability and casualty. She works with Wilson Elser's integrated teams to accommodate clients through a dynamic operating model that draws on the collective experience and resources of the entire firm in realizing desired legal outcomes. Leveraging the firm's scope and size, she can provide clients with quality representation, starting well ahead on the learning curve.

During law school, Rachel interned for the Honorable David B. Saxe of the New York State Supreme Court Appellate Division, First Department.

Education

- Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law, Yeshiva University (J.D., 2013)
- Cornell University (B.S., 2010)

Bar Admissions

- New York

Awards & Honors

- Selected for inclusion in The Best Lawyers in America® Ones to Watch™, 2024-2025
- Selected for inclusion in *Rising Stars for New York Super Lawyers*, 2017-2019

Client Wins

Lum and Davis Secure Swift Defense Verdict for Major Health Care System

Services

- Complex Tort & General Casualty
- National Trial Team

Larry Lum (Partner-New York) and Rachel Davis (Of Counsel-White Plains) secured a defense verdict in the Supreme Court of New York, Nassau County, on behalf of Wilson Elser's client, a major New York Health Care System. The plaintiff in this case claimed she slipped and fell in a bathroom owned by our client, alleging the floor had just been mopped by our client's employee and was improperly safeguarded while still wet. She further claimed that following the incident, the employee admitted fault, and that other staff she interacted with thereafter acknowledged the floor was wet, although none of these alleged witnesses were produced at trial.

Larry and Rachel presented the employee who would have mopped the floor around the time of the alleged incident – an 87-year-old environmental services worker with more than 50 years of experience, who denied knowledge of the incident or making any admission. He testified to his strict safety protocol, which included barricading the door with a pole to prevent it from being opened and personally ensuring the floor was dry before moving on to the next area. In their summation, Larry and Rachel stressed our client's credibility, the plaintiff's lack of witnesses to support her claim, and inconsistencies in her account (e.g., no recollection of having wet clothing or smelling cleaning products). The jury deliberated just 15 minutes before returning a unanimous defense verdict.