



Christine R. Hogan

Partner

christine.hogan@wilsonelser.com

Baltimore, MD – 410.962.7220

Christine Hogan is a results-driven litigation attorney who has extensive experience representing individuals and businesses. Her practice spans a broad range, including insurance defense, torts, family law and business litigation. Christine was one of 20 nominated finalists who successfully completed Wilson Elser's rigorous Mock Trial Invitational through which our most successful trial lawyers impart their knowledge to those destined to join their ranks.

Christine has been a member of the Baltimore legal community for more than a decade. She began her career in administration at a well-known Baltimore area firm and worked as a clerk while attending law school at night.

Education

- University of Baltimore (J.D., 2012)
 - magna cum laude; Moot Court
- Washington and Lee University (B.A., 2007)

Bar Admissions

- Pennsylvania
- Maryland
- West Virginia

Court Admissions

- U.S. District Court, District of Maryland

Services

- Insurance & Reinsurance Defense
- Commercial Litigation
- Complex Tort & General Casualty

Professional Affiliations

- Bar Association of Baltimore City, member, Memorial Committee, 2020–present
- Executive Council for Bar Association of Baltimore City Young Lawyers' Division; Mentoring Committee Co-Chair
- Washington and Lee University Alumni Admissions Program Committee

Awards & Honors

- Selected for inclusion in *The Best Lawyers in America® Ones to Watch™*, 2024-2025
- Selected for inclusion in *The Best Lawyers in America®*: Ones to Watch in the areas of Commercial Litigation and Insurance Law, 2021, 2023
- Maryland Super Lawyers *Rising Star*, 2015–2017

Publications

October 25, 2023

State Regs Sow Discord between Cannabis, Hemp Industries

Client Wins

Grace and Hogan Secure Appellate Affirmance for Airline Client in Montreal Convention Case

Kathryn Anne Grace (Partner-Charlotte, NC) and Christine R. Hogan (Partner-Baltimore, MD) secured affirmance of a dismissal from the Appellate Court of Maryland on behalf of our global airline carrier client. The plaintiff, a passenger on our client's flight, alleged the airline wrongfully removed him and his children from a domestic flight from Atlanta to Baltimore and, as a result, he was emotionally distressed. This segment of the trip was the final leg of a return flight from Italy, thus part of an international journey. The plaintiff claimed the airline unjustly accused him of uttering profanities at the crew and wrongfully ejected him, describing the family's removal as "wrongful, unfair, unjust, malicious, and callous." He sought to recover monetary damages for emotional distress.

In an 11-page opinion, the Appellate Court confirmed first that the Montreal Convention applied to the claim and second that the Convention did not recognize his claim as viable. The three-judge panel affirmed the trial court's dismissal of the passenger's lawsuit against our client, explaining that the multinational treaty requires any cause of action to be predicated on a physical injury. The panel further observed that, even assuming the plaintiff had sustained a qualifying injury, his claims were time-barred as he filed beyond the two-year statute of limitations provided for by the Convention.