



Cheryl Mancuso

Of Counsel

cheryl.mancuso@wilsonelser.com

Boston, MA – 617.422.5378

Cheryl Mancuso represents individual and business clients in all aspects of civil insurance defense litigation. She has more than 20 years of litigation practice experience in the defense of claims related to general liability, automobile liability, premises liability and personal injury litigation. Clients include a national car rental chain, snow plow operators, landscaping companies, commercial and residential property owners and management companies, and personal automobile operators.

Cheryl's extensive insurance defense experience ranges from work at small litigation firms to an in-house complex counsel position at a global insurance company where she served both personal and commercial clients.

Before attending law school, Cheryl earned a master's degree in criminal justice. She worked as a Victim Witness Assistance Advocate for the State's Attorney's Office and in food stamp program regulation for the USDA in her home city, Chicago, Illinois.

Education

- New England School of Law (J.D., *cum laude*, 2001)
 - Senior Technical Coordinating Editor, New England Law Review
- University of Dayton (B.S. Criminal Justice, *magna cum laude*, 1992)
- University of Cincinnati (M.S. Criminal Justice, 1993)

Bar Admissions

- Massachusetts

Court Admissions

Services

- Insurance & Reinsurance Defense
- Transportation

- Supreme Court of Massachusetts
- U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts

Client Wins

Mancuso & Kim Obtain Summary Judgment and Contractual Indemnity for Property Manager in Personal Injury Case

Cheryl Mancuso (Of Counsel-Boston, MA) and Anne Kim (Associate-Boston, MA) defended a property management company for a logistics facility in a personal injury case in the Suffolk County Superior Court in Boston. The plaintiff alleged that he was injured while working at the facility when an object fell on his head, asserting claims of negligence for failure to install preventative safety features within the facility. Co-defendants asserted cross-claims for contribution against the firm's client. Cheryl and Anne moved for summary judgment as to the plaintiff's claims and co-defendants' cross-claims, and affirmatively moved for summary judgment in favor of the firm's client as to its cross-claims against the co-defendant tenant of the facility for breach of contract and contractual indemnity for the tenant's refusal to defend and indemnify the firm's client under the terms of the commercial lease. The plaintiff and co-defendants/plaintiffs-in-cross-claim did not oppose the motion, though the tenant that was the target of the firm's client's cross-claims did oppose. Summary judgment was granted as to all claims against the firm's client, and as to all cross-claims asserted against the tenant, thereby obligating the co-defendant tenant to pay the attorney's fees incurred on behalf of the firm's client in defending the claim.